#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D	0	8	MAY	2006
MIPO				PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant' s or agent' s file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPBA/416		
MCEA-P5-04 International application No.	International filing date (da	w/month/wear)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/37000	04 November 2004 (04.11.	•	05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)			03 November 2003 (65.11.2003)		
IPC: G06Q 40/00(2006.01) USPC: 705/4,35,38	IPC: G06Q 40/00(2006.01)				
Applicant		<del></del>			
CABALLERO, CRISPINA					
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 4 sheets, inclu	nding this cover shee	et.		
_	panied by ANNEXES, con				
a. (sent to the applica	ant and to the Internationa	l Bureau) a total of	13 sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
amendment	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the Inter	national Bureau only) a to	otal of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))		
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indic	ations relating to the follow	wing items:			
Box No. I B	asis of the report				
Box No. II Pi	riority				
——————————————————————————————————————	on-establishment of opinio	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial		
Box No. IV L	ack of unity of invention				
			regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement		
Box No. VI Certain documents ci					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the		ernational application			
Box No. VIII C	ertain observations on the	international applica	ation		
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report					
22 December 2005 (22.12.2005)		20 February 2006 (20.02.2006)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	US	Anthorized officer	1//2		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	H	Joseph Thomas			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450					
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201					

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Internationa	l apr	lica	tion	No.

PCT/US04/37000

Box	No.	I	Basis of the report	
1. V	Vith	regar	d to the language, this report is based on:	
	the international application in the language in which it was filed.			
		a tran	slation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the	
		purpo	ses of:	
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
			publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))	
			international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
fu	ırnisl	hed to	d to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "o riginally filed" annexed to this report):	
Ĺ	╝.	the in	ternational application as originally filed/furnished	
			escription:	
			* 1-19 as originally filed/furnished  * NONE received by this Authority on	
			* NONE received by this Authority on	
r				
L			aims:  NONE as originally filed/furnished	
			* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
			* 20-32 received by this Authority on 22 December 2005	
		pages	* NONE received by this Authority on	
	XI -	the di	rawings:	
			as originally filed/furnished	
			* NONE received by this Authority on	
		pages	* NONE received by this Authority on	
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
з. [		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
			the description, pages	
		同	the claims, Nos	
		同	the drawings, sheets/figs	
		同	the sequence listing (specify):	
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4. [	] ;	This re	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, hey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
			the description, pages	
		同	the claims, Nos	
		一	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	i	H	the sequence listing (specify):	
		H	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
	1	<u></u>	any monets, tempos to me sequence name (special).	
* <i>If i</i>	tem	4 арр	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/37000

# Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement		·
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-67	YES
	Claims NONE	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
<u>-</u> · · ·	Claims 1-67	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-67	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)
Claims 1-67 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Best-Devereux (US 2002/0082875) in view of Hammond et al (US 5,712,984).

Best-Devereux discloses a system and method for distributing risk among selected assumers for reinsurance negations (See abstract, Fig 3, par. 0026-0033). Best-Devereux further discloses that the submitting party or parties and the ceding company may negotiate the portion of risk that the potential reinsurer is willing to assume through a series of offers and counteroffers (par. 0036-0043). Furthermore, Best-Devereux discloses that the information exchanged among the parties may include various high liability/high price and low liability/low cost options (par. 0089), but does not expressly disclose the steps of calculating an insurance reserve requirement to determine capital requirements for the insurer.

Hammond discloses a system and method for predicting/calculating required insurance cash reserves. (col. 14, line 4-col. 16, line 22) Hammond further discloses that the reserve amount may be adjusted and reproportioned as needed. (col. 17, line 16-col. 18, line 19) At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system of Best-Devereux with the teaching of Hammond to calculate and allocate the required insurance reserves. As suggested by Hammond, one would have been motivated to include this feature to allow insurers to budget and forecast more accurately, thereby reducing potential losses and improving the overall financial solvency of the insurance carrier. (col. 2, lines 6-11)

Claims 1-67 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

The applicant's arguments regarding the applied references have been considered, but are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references.

The Applicant further suggests that Hammond is non-analogous art, because it is directed toward worker's compensation, not reinsurance. In response to applicant's argument that Hammond is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. In the present case, the Examiner submits that the worker's compensation functions a type of insurance for individuals who have been individuals who have been injured at the workplace. Moreover, "r einsurance" is merely insurance for insurance companies. Therefore, both the prior art and the claimed invention deal in the area of insurance. Moreover, both the prior and the applicant's invention address the issue of raising and allocating sufficient reserves for insurance purposes. As such, the Hammond reference is analogous to the problem being addressed and to the applicant's field of endeavor.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application Ri,
PCT/US04/37000

		PCT/US04/37000			
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:					
Claim 61 is objet have been numb	Claim 61 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: Two claims have been numbered "claim 61."				
	•				
	·				
		·			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VII) (April 2005)